

AGENDA		DISCUSSION	ACTION ITEMS
I. Item 1	Andrea Berry / Nirav Shah	<p>Welcome & Introduction</p> <p>Introduction of Committee Members</p> <p>Review of Quorum & Attendance Requirements from Leadership Council</p> <p>Discussion on proposals and workflow</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Caitlin suggests supporting ideas and proposals through votes in chat or reactions in Zoom meeting - Emily proposes to have voting items in agenda ahead of time 	
II. Item 2	Andrea Berry / Nirav Shah	<p>Integral Care Appeal Review & Vote</p> <p>Review Audit Report for Integral Care</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Nirav asks Claire to talk about Integral Care <p>Vote on Appeal</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Nirav proposes we vote on appeal - Committee voted to deny the appeal 	
III. Item 3	Andrea Berry / Nirav Shah	<p>Committee Goals & Next Steps</p> <p>Review priorities from Leadership Council & February minutes</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Nirav proposes committee discuss options and ideas - Summer proposes we look at Governance Roadmap <p>Questions/Ideas</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - How would qualitative data be included? (Nathan) - Are our outcomes measures centered in relation to racial disparities (Caitlin) - Include a space so people with Mental Illness have equal opportunity services, coordinated assessment needs more inclusive q's to address mental health (Nathan) - Is coordinated entry included under performance monitoring (Nirav) Systems performance and crisis response are also working on that (summer) we could potentially do more, CA is based on racial disparities and maybe we could propose (Akram) - Prioritize IRT so NOFO work is not rushed (Emily) There can be workgroups going at the same time depending on the work (Summer) <p>Subcommittee/Workgroup Assignments</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Summer and Maya can supply lists of people interested in participating in workgroups - Open space with workgroups and subcommittees 	

		<p>Discussion on potential review of performance scorecard</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Scorecard can help committee learn about programs, systems, and clients, Based on appeal process, comments from ECHO, and the community it seems it is time to review it, It is a tangible element, provide a lot of familiarity with HMIS <p>Process</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - How many projects do we want at once as a committee? Do we want all workgroups active at once, is that where we want to start or where do we want to start? (Nirav) Committee agreed that 2-3 groups to start would be a good place to get everyone comfortable - Scorecard Review, IRT prep work, and coordinated assessment mental health inclusions seems like committee consensus (Nirav) - PIT could also be coming soon, when do we start prepping that (Liz) <p>Proposals</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Let's maybe agree on doing three proposals. (Nirav) Committee agreed Unanimously - What three proposals would we like to focus on? Nirav proposes 1) performance scorecard 2) IRT Workgroup 3) PIT Count Planning /// Committee agreed Unanimously - Nirav will follow up with ECHO and LC 	
IV. Item 4	Joseph Montano	<p>Housekeeping</p> <p>Meeting Time Review</p> <p>Committee Google Drive Walkthrough</p>	
Adjournment		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Next Meeting: April 21, 2022 11:00AM - 12:30PM; Virtual meeting via Zoom 	

