

Performance Monitoring Committee Meeting Minutes

Duration: 2-3:30pm **Date: 9/26/24**

Meeting Place: VIRTUAL MEETINGS

Meeting ID:

https://us02web.zoom.us/j/86412245649?pwd=6V

QkEjnpo8LwXnPIM2N13GY6j1bPpj.1

P = Present A = Absent

Committee Voting Members								
Candace McGary	Α	Zoe Steensma	Р	Whitney Callari	Р			
Gary Pollack	Р	Robert Kingham	Р					
Gaby Libretti	Р	Meagan Biscamp (ECHO)	Р					
Kayla Grissett	Α	Dylan Shubitz (LC)	Р					
Nirav Shah	Р	Rachel Shuyler	Р					
Committee Chairs								
Liz Schoenfeld (Co-Chair)	Р							
Cryss Murray (Co-Chair)	Р							
Guest Attendees								
Jay Feldman	Р	Rachel Shuyler	Р					
Andrew Willard	Р	Cassandra Richards	Р					
Lindsay Kocen	Р							
	ECHO (CoC Lead)							
Quiana Fisher	Р	Dominique Peevy	Р					
Sharon Kao	Р	Dylan Lowery						
Angela de Leon	Р							
Perla Carranza	Р							

AGENDA	PRESENTER	DISCUSSION	ACTION ITEMS
2pm –	Cryss		
Welcome/introductio			
ns			
2:15pm Assessor	Sharon	 A question was raised asking how many assessors would not be compliant right now – Sharon mentioned about 	
Performance Policy		25% of assessors right now do not meet the minimum 5 assessments a month (have only done 2 or less).	Voted – Policy
Presentation		A question was asked if there could be some assessors at psychiatric services locations. Sharon will take this	approved.
		conversation offline for discussion and support. There are 79 assessors in the community right now.	
		Question was asked if assessors could be 'flagged' at a quarter vs. once a month. Sharon mentioned there are	
		some exceptions to this process.	
		• The real impact of what this policy means was brought up – assessments will have to be distributed to other	
		 assessors. Sharon responded by stating that a big bulk of the 25% of those haven't done any assessments. 21 assessors are in the pipeline to be moved over to the pipeline to start serving the community (Endeavors, 	
		AAUL).	
		A question was asked about who makes the 'final' call regarding the appeals process and it was determined	
		that Alessandra, the Crisis Outreach Director.	
		 Incentives to becoming an assessor? The benefits are you're contributing your time to the community. 	
2:25 – Outcome of PIT	Dylan	 Methodology approved for January 25th and PIT count was approved by Leadership Council. 	Next steps are
count vote and	Shubitz/An	 Press release went out today 9/26/24, first interview today with KXAN, kicked off publicly. 	recruitment for
Leadership Council	gela	Leadership Council wants to get the PIT workgroup going.	PIT workgroup
decision/PIT		Traditionally space has been filled with direct service providers, PLE, and open to this group. Weekly/biweekly	and creation of scope of work.
workgroup		meetings. Determined by how ramped things are – biweekly mid to late November then it becomes weekly as	scope of work.
		ECHO is requiring more feedback. PIT workgroup is very helpful and serves as an advisory group for the PIT	
		count day.	
		Question was raised if we should offer guiding principles for the workgroup? (How are orgs represented in	
		recommendations or what should the make up try to look like?) Leadership Council is in process of approval	
		of these questions in next month's meeting (updated governance charter).	
		Up to PM Committee to figure out lead for workgroup, 3 seats for PLE requirements, workgroup could request	
		Leadership Council representation and ensuring 2 voting positions for service providers.	
		Difficulty of mirroring Leadership Council's structure was mentioned, but it would be beneficial to establish policies to appure all unique heard.	
		policies to ensure all voices heard.	
		The group raises the point that the safe space piece is important to uphold. We also need to bring more providers into these workgroup spaces keeping in mind that they have important perspectives.	Revisit at next
		 providers into these workgroup spaces keeping in mind that they have important perspectives. Question was raised about how many folks do we need in this group to be productive – size of work group? 	month's PM
		Angela states that in 2023, PLE folks gave insight and feedback to ECHO and they made decisions based on	Committee
		feedback. This year, we are 'courting' service providers to support PIT in January 2025. Ongoing and informal	meeting – creation of
		conversations about things to keep in mind. 5 folks started in the group in 2023 but it dwindled down to one	standards for
		person.	workgroup
		 Dylan L. mentions that the requirement has not changed – ensuring 3 PLE in the workgroup at the very 	ensuring that
		minimum.	different
L		<u> </u>	2

		Workgroup size is less critical due to the advisory nature of this group.	perspectives are represented. At the next meeting, Angela gives an update to what workgroup composition looks like.
2: 45pm –Update on Salvation Army PIP	Quiana	Quiana and Jay presenting PIP plan for Passages II program for Salvation Army.	Add presentation to PM Committee Website.
3:15 – General work group updates, last goal of roadmap (revision of PIP policy)	Quiana	 Please complete the RRH Prioritization Survey! https://forms.office.com/g/grx5sTiDjM (name and agency included in this survey). A few folks answering anonymously. Consensus is to still collect them but communicate as anonymously. 12 surveys collected so far. Recommendation to present identifiable data vs. anonymously. 	ECHO will be providing a draft PIP Policy to be reviewed by this committee by October 2024.
3:25pm – Call for co- chair nominees	Liz	 Sent out form for co-chair nominations. Circulate link for October meeting. 	Come to next month's meeting with nominees for vote.

Actions taken by [Performance Monitoring Committee] during the meeting on [9/26/24]

I. [Roll call vote to approve the Community Assessor Performance Policy]. [Motion Passed]